

Valuations on groups, ordered groups, and exponential groups

BY VINCENT BAGAYOKO (UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ)

DDG40, Banyuls-sur-Mer, 07-07-2025

Let T be a first-order structure, let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \dots) \models T$ and let $t_1(x), t_2(x)$ be unary terms with parameters in M .

Let T be a first-order structure, let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \dots) \models T$ and let $t_1(x), t_2(x)$ be unary terms with parameters in M .

Unary equation problem (UEP):

Are there an $\mathcal{N} = (N, \dots) \models T$ with $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and an $a \in N$ such that

$$\mathcal{N} \models t_1(a) = t_2(a) \text{ ?}$$

Let T be a first-order structure, let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \dots) \models T$ and let $t_1(x), t_2(x)$ be unary terms with parameters in M .

Unary equation problem (UEP):

Are there an $\mathcal{N} = (N, \dots) \models T$ with $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and an $a \in N$ such that

$$\mathcal{N} \models t_1(a) = t_2(a) \quad ?$$

If T is a theory of pure groups, then this reduces to solving

$$g_1 y^{\alpha_1} \cdots g_n y^{\alpha_n} = 1$$

in extensions of \mathcal{M} that are models of T , where $g_1, \dots, g_n \in M$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let T be a first-order structure, let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \dots) \models T$ and let $t_1(x), t_2(x)$ be unary terms with parameters in M .

Unary equation problem (UEP):

Are there an $\mathcal{N} = (N, \dots) \models T$ with $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and an $a \in N$ such that

$$\mathcal{N} \models t_1(a) = t_2(a) \quad ?$$

If T is a theory of pure groups, then this reduces to solving

$$g_1 y^{\alpha_1} \cdots g_n y^{\alpha_n} = 1$$

in extensions of \mathcal{M} that are models of T , where $g_1, \dots, g_n \in M$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The term $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1} \cdots g_n y^{\alpha_n}$ is said **regular** if $\alpha(t) := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \neq 0$.

Pure groups:

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.
- If T is a theory of Abelian groups, then **UEP** in T reduces to terms $t(y) = g y^{\alpha(t)}$.

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.
- If T is a theory of Abelian groups, then **UEP** in T reduces to terms $t(y) = g y^{\alpha(t)}$.
- LEVIN conjecture: **UEP** is solvable in the theory of torsion-free groups (known for $\alpha(t) = 1$).

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.
- If T is a theory of Abelian groups, then **UEP** in T reduces to terms $t(y) = g y^{\alpha(t)}$.
- LEVIN conjecture: **UEP** is solvable in the theory of torsion-free groups (known for $\alpha(t) = 1$).
- KERVAIRE-LAUDENBACH conjecture: **UEP** is solvable for regular terms in the theory of all groups.

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.
- If T is a theory of Abelian groups, then **UEP** in T reduces to terms $t(y) = g y^{\alpha(t)}$.
- LEVIN conjecture: **UEP** is solvable in the theory of torsion-free groups (known for $\alpha(t) = 1$).
- KERVAIRE-LAUDENBACH conjecture: **UEP** is solvable for regular terms in the theory of all groups.
- For nilpotent or solvable groups: unknown even for regular case.

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.
- If T is a theory of Abelian groups, then **UEP** in T reduces to terms $t(y) = g y^{\alpha(t)}$.
- LEVIN conjecture: **UEP** is solvable in the theory of torsion-free groups (known for $\alpha(t) = 1$).
- KERVAIRE-LAUDENBACH conjecture: **UEP** is solvable for regular terms in the theory of all groups.
- For nilpotent or solvable groups: unknown even for regular case.
- BLUDOV, 2005: **UEP** is *not* solvable for terms $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$, nor for $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$ in the theory of orderable groups.

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.
- If T is a theory of Abelian groups, then **UEP** in T reduces to terms $t(y) = g y^{\alpha(t)}$.
- LEVIN conjecture: **UEP** is solvable in the theory of torsion-free groups (known for $\alpha(t) = 1$).
- KERVAIRE-LAUDENBACH conjecture: **UEP** is solvable for regular terms in the theory of all groups.
- For nilpotent or solvable groups: unknown even for regular case.
- BLUDOV, 2005: **UEP** is *not* solvable for terms $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$, nor for $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$ in the theory of orderable groups.

Expansions of groups:

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.
- If T is a theory of Abelian groups, then **UEP** in T reduces to terms $t(y) = g y^{\alpha(t)}$.
- LEVIN conjecture: **UEP** is solvable in the theory of torsion-free groups (known for $\alpha(t) = 1$).
- KERVAIRE-LAUDENBACH conjecture: **UEP** is solvable for regular terms in the theory of all groups.
- For nilpotent or solvable groups: unknown even for regular case.
- BLUDOV, 2005: **UEP** is *not* solvable for terms $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$, nor for $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$ in the theory of orderable groups.

Expansions of groups:

- Ordered groups: same as for orderable.

Pure groups:

- If T is the theory of all groups, then **UEP** is solvable for $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$ and $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$.
- If T is a theory of Abelian groups, then **UEP** in T reduces to terms $t(y) = g y^{\alpha(t)}$.
- LEVIN conjecture: **UEP** is solvable in the theory of torsion-free groups (known for $\alpha(t) = 1$).
- KERVAIRE-LAUDENBACH conjecture: **UEP** is solvable for regular terms in the theory of all groups.
- For nilpotent or solvable groups: unknown even for regular case.
- BLUDOV, 2005: **UEP** is *not* solvable for terms $t(y) = g_1 y^{\alpha_1}$, nor for $t(y) = g_1 y g_2 y^{-1}$ in the theory of orderable groups.

Expansions of groups:

- Ordered groups: same as for orderable.
- "Exponential groups": no systematic study of this problem.

Definition: A **group** is a group.

Notations: $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1)$; $\mathcal{G}^\neq := \mathcal{G} \setminus \{1\}$; $[f, g] := f^{-1} g^{-1} f g$; $\mathcal{C}(f) := \{g \in \mathcal{G} : [f, g] = 1\}$.

Definition: A **group** is a group.

Notations: $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1)$; $\mathcal{G}^\neq := \mathcal{G} \setminus \{1\}$; $[f, g] := f^{-1} g^{-1} f g$; $\mathcal{C}(f) := \{g \in \mathcal{G} : [f, g] = 1\}$.

Definition: ordered group

An **ordered group** is a group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, <)$ together with a total ordering $<$ on \mathcal{G} with

$$f < g \Rightarrow (f h < g h \wedge h f < h g)$$

for all $f, g, h \in \mathcal{G}$. We write $\mathcal{G}^> = \{g \in \mathcal{G} : g > 1\}$.

Definition: A **group** is a group.

Notations: $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1)$; $\mathcal{G}^\neq := \mathcal{G} \setminus \{1\}$; $[f, g] := f^{-1} g^{-1} f g$; $\mathcal{C}(f) := \{g \in \mathcal{G} : [f, g] = 1\}$.

Definition: ordered group

An **ordered group** is a group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, <)$ together with a total ordering $<$ on \mathcal{G} with

$$f < g \Rightarrow (f h < g h \wedge h f < h g)$$

for all $f, g, h \in \mathcal{G}$. We write $\mathcal{G}^> = \{g \in \mathcal{G} : g > 1\}$.

Definition: exponential group (MIASNIKOV&REMESLENNIKOV, 1994)

Let A be a (unital, associative) ring. An **A-group** is a group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1)$ together with a function $A \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$; $(a, g) \mapsto g^a$ such that for all $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$ and $a, b \in A$, we have:

$g^0 = 1, g^1 = g, 1^a = 1$	$g^{a+b} = g^a g^b, (g^a)^b = g^{ab}$
$(h g h^{-1})^a = h g^a h^{-1}$	$[g, h] = 1 \Rightarrow (g h)^a = g^a h^a.$

Examples: groups are \mathbb{Z} -group in a unique way: $(n, g) \mapsto g^n$. Divisible groups with unique roots are \mathbb{Q} -groups in a unique way.

\mathcal{G} : group / ordered group / A -group; $(\Gamma, <)$: totally ordered; $v: \mathcal{G} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$. Then (\mathcal{G}, v) is:

\mathcal{G} : group / ordered group / A -group; $(\Gamma, <)$: totally ordered; $v: \mathcal{G} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$. Then (\mathcal{G}, v) is:

- **valued** if for all $f, g, h \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathbf{V1.} \quad f \neq 1 \rightarrow v(1) < v(f).$$

$$\mathbf{V2.} \quad v(fg) \leq \max(v(f), v(g)).$$

$$\mathbf{V3.} \quad v(f) = v(f^{-1})$$

$$\mathbf{V4.} \quad v(f) \leq v(g) \rightarrow v(hfh^{-1}) \leq v(hgh^{-1}).$$

\mathcal{G} : group / ordered group / A -group; $(\Gamma, <)$: totally ordered; $v: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \Gamma$. Then (\mathcal{G}, v) is:

- **valued** if for all $f, g, h \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathbf{V1.} \quad f \neq 1 \rightarrow v(1) < v(f).$$

$$\mathbf{V2.} \quad v(fg) \leq \max(v(f), v(g)).$$

$$\mathbf{V3.} \quad v(f) = v(f^{-1})$$

$$\mathbf{V4.} \quad v(f) \leq v(g) \rightarrow v(hfh^{-1}) \leq v(hgh^{-1}).$$

- **c-valued** if it is valued and for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathbf{V5.} \quad (gf = fg \wedge f \neq 1 \wedge g \neq 1) \rightarrow v(g) = v(f).$$

$$\mathbf{V6.} \quad (v(f) = v(g) \wedge f \neq 1 \wedge g \neq 1) \rightarrow v([f, g]) < v(f).$$

\mathcal{G} : group / ordered group / A -group; $(\Gamma, <)$: totally ordered; $v: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \Gamma$. Then (\mathcal{G}, v) is:

- **valued** if for all $f, g, h \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathbf{V1.} \quad f \neq 1 \rightarrow v(1) < v(f).$$

$$\mathbf{V2.} \quad v(fg) \leq \max(v(f), v(g)).$$

$$\mathbf{V3.} \quad v(f) = v(f^{-1})$$

$$\mathbf{V4.} \quad v(f) \leq v(g) \rightarrow v(hfh^{-1}) \leq v(hgh^{-1}).$$

- **c-valued** if it is valued and for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathbf{V5.} \quad (gf = fg \wedge f \neq 1 \wedge g \neq 1) \rightarrow v(g) = v(f).$$

$$\mathbf{V6.} \quad (v(f) = v(g) \wedge f \neq 1 \wedge g \neq 1) \rightarrow v([f, g]) < v(f).$$

- **ordered (c-)valued** if it is (c-)valued and v is nondecreasing on $\mathcal{G}^>$.

\mathcal{G} : group / ordered group / A -group; $(\Gamma, <)$: totally ordered; $v: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \Gamma$. Then (\mathcal{G}, v) is:

- **valued** if for all $f, g, h \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathbf{V1.} \quad f \neq 1 \rightarrow v(1) < v(f).$$

$$\mathbf{V2.} \quad v(fg) \leq \max(v(f), v(g)).$$

$$\mathbf{V3.} \quad v(f) = v(f^{-1})$$

$$\mathbf{V4.} \quad v(f) \leq v(g) \rightarrow v(hfh^{-1}) \leq v(hgh^{-1}).$$

- **c-valued** if it is valued and for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\mathbf{V5.} \quad (gf = fg \wedge f \neq 1 \wedge g \neq 1) \rightarrow v(g) = v(f).$$

$$\mathbf{V6.} \quad (v(f) = v(g) \wedge f \neq 1 \wedge g \neq 1) \rightarrow v([f, g]) < v(f).$$

- **ordered (c-)valued** if it is (c-)valued and v is nondecreasing on $\mathcal{G}^>$.

- **A -valued** if it is valued and for all $a \in A$ and $f, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$f^a \neq 1 \Rightarrow v(f^a) = v(f) \quad \text{and} \quad v(\varepsilon) < v(f) \Rightarrow v((f\varepsilon)^a f^{-a}) \leq v(\varepsilon).$$

Let F be a field and let V be a vector space over F . The group $\text{Aff}_F(V)$ of affine bijections of V is the group under composition of maps $\lambda x + u : v \mapsto \lambda v + u$ for $\lambda \in F^\times$ and $u \in V$.

Let F be a field and let V be a vector space over F . The group $\text{Aff}_F(V)$ of affine bijections of V is the group under composition of maps $\lambda x + u : v \mapsto \lambda v + u$ for $\lambda \in F^\times$ and $u \in V$.

So $\text{Aff}_F(V) \simeq F \rtimes V$ for the action of F on V by homoteties.

Let F be a field and let V be a vector space over F . The group $\text{Aff}_F(V)$ of affine bijections of V is the group under composition of maps $\lambda x + u : v \mapsto \lambda v + u$ for $\lambda \in F^\times$ and $u \in V$.

So $\text{Aff}_F(V) \simeq F \rtimes V$ for the action of F on V by homoteties.

Valuation $v : \text{Aff}_F(V) \longrightarrow (\{0, 1, 2\}, <)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= 0 \\ v(x + u) &= 1 \quad \text{if } u \neq 0 \\ v(\lambda x + u) &= 2 \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let F be a field and let V be a vector space over F . The group $\text{Aff}_F(V)$ of affine bijections of V is the group under composition of maps $\lambda x + u : v \mapsto \lambda v + u$ for $\lambda \in F^\times$ and $u \in V$.

So $\text{Aff}_F(V) \simeq F \rtimes V$ for the action of F on V by homoteties.

Valuation $v : \text{Aff}_F(V) \longrightarrow (\{0, 1, 2\}, <)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= 0 \\ v(x + u) &= 1 \quad \text{if } u \neq 0 \\ v(\lambda x + u) &= 2 \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is a c-valuation on $\text{Aff}_F(V)$.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{F} be a non-Abelian free group. Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n < \omega}$ and $(\mathcal{F}^{(n)})_{n < \omega}$ denote the lower central and derived series of \mathcal{F} respectively. Then \mathcal{F} is c -valued and \mathbb{Z} -valued for the maps

$$\ell : g \mapsto \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \in \mathcal{F}_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad d : g \mapsto \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}\}.$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{F} be a non-Abelian free group. Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n < \omega}$ and $(\mathcal{F}^{(n)})_{n < \omega}$ denote the lower central and derived series of \mathcal{F} respectively. Then \mathcal{F} is c -valued and \mathbb{Z} -valued for the maps

$$\ell : g \mapsto \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \in \mathcal{F}_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad d : g \mapsto \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}\}.$$

Proof (for ℓ). We take the reverse ordering on $\Gamma = \omega + 1$. **V1** holds by definition. **V2** and **V3** hold since each \mathcal{F}_n is a subgroup. **V4** holds because each \mathcal{F}_n is normal. **V6** holds because each quotient $\mathcal{F}_n / \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ is Abelian. \square

Proposition

Let \mathcal{F} be a non-Abelian free group. Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n < \omega}$ and $(\mathcal{F}^{(n)})_{n < \omega}$ denote the lower central and derived series of \mathcal{F} respectively. Then \mathcal{F} is c -valued and \mathbb{Z} -valued for the maps

$$\ell : g \mapsto \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \in \mathcal{F}_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad d : g \mapsto \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}\}.$$

Proof (for ℓ). We take the reverse ordering on $\Gamma = \omega + 1$. **V1** holds by definition. **V2** and **V3** hold since each \mathcal{F}_n is a subgroup. **V4** holds because each \mathcal{F}_n is normal. **V6** holds by definition.

Now consider **V5**. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{F}^\neq$ with $g \in \mathcal{C}(f)$. We have $\mathcal{C}(f) = s^\mathbb{Z}$ for a certain $s \in \mathcal{C}(f)$. So it suffices to show that $v(s^m) = v(s)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{F}^\neq$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{v(s)}$ is a free group. Assume for contradiction that $s^m \in \mathcal{F}_{v(s)+1}$. Then $(s \mathcal{F}_{v(s)+1})^m = 1$ in the free Abelian group $\mathcal{F}_{v(s)} / \mathcal{F}_{v(s)+1}$. It follows that $s \in \mathcal{F}_{v(s)+1}$: a contradiction. Thus **V5** holds and \mathcal{F} is c -valued. This plus normality of each \mathcal{F}_n in \mathcal{F} implies it is \mathbb{Z} -valued. \square

Proposition

Let \mathcal{F} be a non-Abelian free group. Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n < \omega}$ and $(\mathcal{F}^{(n)})_{n < \omega}$ denote the lower central and derived series of \mathcal{F} respectively. Then \mathcal{F} is c -valued and \mathbb{Z} -valued for the maps

$$\ell : g \mapsto \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \in \mathcal{F}_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad d : g \mapsto \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}\}.$$

Proof (for ℓ). We take the reverse ordering on $\Gamma = \omega + 1$. **V1** holds by definition. **V2** and **V3** hold since each \mathcal{F}_n is a subgroup. **V4** holds because each \mathcal{F}_n is normal. **V6** holds by definition.

Now consider **V5**. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{F}^\neq$ with $g \in \mathcal{C}(f)$. We have $\mathcal{C}(f) = s^\mathbb{Z}$ for a certain $s \in \mathcal{C}(f)$. So it suffices to show that $v(s^m) = v(s)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{F}^\neq$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{v(s)}$ is a free group. Assume for contradiction that $s^m \in \mathcal{F}_{v(s)+1}$. Then $(s \mathcal{F}_{v(s)+1})^m = 1$ in the free Abelian group $\mathcal{F}_{v(s)} / \mathcal{F}_{v(s)+1}$. It follows that $s \in \mathcal{F}_{v(s)+1}$: a contradiction. Thus **V5** holds and \mathcal{F} is c -valued. This plus normality of each \mathcal{F}_n in \mathcal{F} implies it is \mathbb{Z} -valued. \square

By work of BAUMSLAG, JAIKIN-ZAPIRAIN and MIASNIKOV&REMESLENNIKOV, this generalises to free A -groups for commutative domains A of characteristic 0.

Definition

A **growth order group** is an ordered group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, <)$ such that

- The map $v : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}}$ given by $v(f) = \text{Convex Hull of } (\mathcal{C}(f))$ is nondecreasing on $\mathcal{G}^>$.
- We have $f g > g f$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}^>$ with $v(f) > v(g)$.
- For all $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^{\neq})$, there is an f with $v(f) = \gamma$ such that $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is Abelian and that for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $v(g) = \gamma$, there is an $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}(f)$ with $v(g f_0^{-1}) < \gamma$.

Definition

A **growth order group** is an ordered group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, <)$ such that

- The map $v : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}}$ given by $v(f) = \text{Convex Hull of } (\mathcal{C}(f))$ is nondecreasing on $\mathcal{G}^>$.
- We have $f g > g f$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}^>$ with $v(f) > v(g)$.
- For all $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^{\neq})$, there is an f with $v(f) = \gamma$ such that $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is Abelian and that for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $v(g) = \gamma$, there is an $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}(f)$ with $v(g f_0^{-1}) < \gamma$.

If F is ordered and V is an ordered vector space, then the subgroup $\text{Aff}_F^+(V) = F^{>0} \rtimes V$ of strictly increasing affine maps is a growth order group.

Definition

A **growth order group** is an ordered group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, <)$ such that

- The map $v : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}}$ given by $v(f) = \text{Convex Hull of } (\mathcal{C}(f))$ is nondecreasing on $\mathcal{G}^>$.
- We have $f g > g f$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}^>$ with $v(f) > v(g)$.
- For all $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^{\neq})$, there is an f with $v(f) = \gamma$ such that $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is Abelian and that for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $v(g) = \gamma$, there is an $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}(f)$ with $v(g f_0^{-1}) < \gamma$.

If F is ordered and V is an ordered vector space, then the subgroup $\text{Aff}_F^+(V) = F^{>0} \rtimes V$ of strictly increasing affine maps is a growth order group.

Theorem

If \mathcal{R} is a polynomially bounded o-minimal expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, then the ordered group under composition of germs at $+\infty$ of \mathcal{R} -definable unary functions f with $\lim f = +\infty$ is a growth order group.

This also works if for each definable map f with $\lim f = +\infty$ there are an $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\exp^{\circ e} - 1 \leq \log^{\circ n} \circ f \circ \exp^{\circ n} \leq \exp^{\circ e} + 1.$$

Let $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ be c-valued, let $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$. Write

$$\mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) \leq \gamma\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) < \gamma\} \triangleleft \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\gamma = \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} / \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ be c -valued, let $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$. Write

$$\mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) \leq \gamma\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) < \gamma\} \triangleleft \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\gamma = \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} / \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma}.$$

Then \mathcal{C}_γ is Abelian. If \mathcal{G} is an A -valued A -group, then \mathcal{C}_ρ is an A -module. The union of quotient maps $\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)} \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)} \mathcal{C}_\gamma$ is denoted res .

Let $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ be c -valued, let $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$. Write

$$\mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) \leq \gamma\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) < \gamma\} \triangleleft \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\gamma = \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} / \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma}.$$

Then \mathcal{C}_γ is Abelian. If \mathcal{G} is an A -valued A -group, then \mathcal{C}_ρ is an A -module. The union of quotient maps $\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)} \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)} \mathcal{C}_\gamma$ is denoted res .

Proposition

Centralisers of non-trivial elements in \mathcal{G} are Abelian.

Let $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ be c -valued, let $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$. Write

$$\mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) \leq \gamma\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) < \gamma\} \triangleleft \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\gamma = \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} / \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma}.$$

Then \mathcal{C}_γ is Abelian. If \mathcal{G} is an A -valued A -group, then \mathcal{C}_ρ is an A -module. The union of quotient maps $\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)} \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)} \mathcal{C}_\gamma$ is denoted res .

Proposition

Centralisers of non-trivial elements in \mathcal{G} are Abelian.

If $v(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \lambda^* \amalg \lambda$ for an ordinal λ , then \mathcal{G} is hypoabelian. So \mathcal{G} contains no finite simple group.

Let $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ be c -valued, let $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$. Write

$$\mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) \leq \gamma\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} = \{f \in \mathcal{G} : v(f) < \gamma\} \triangleleft \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_\gamma = \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} / \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma}.$$

Then \mathcal{C}_γ is Abelian. If \mathcal{G} is an A -valued A -group, then \mathcal{C}_ρ is an A -module. The union of quotient maps $\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)} \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)} \mathcal{C}_\gamma$ is denoted res .

Proposition

Centralisers of non-trivial elements in \mathcal{G} are Abelian.

If $v(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \lambda^* \amalg \lambda$ for an ordinal λ , then \mathcal{G} is hypoabelian. So \mathcal{G} contains no finite simple group.

If $v(\mathcal{G}) = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$, $\gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_n$, then \mathcal{G} is an iterated extension of Abelian groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{< \gamma_2} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma_2} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\leq \gamma_n} = \mathcal{G} \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \mathcal{C}_{\gamma_2} & & \mathcal{C}_{\gamma_n} \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Definition

A valued group is said **spherically complete** if all decreasing families of valuate balls $g \mathcal{G}_{<\gamma}$, $g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge \gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$ have non-empty intersection.

Definition

A valued group is said **spherically complete** if all decreasing families of valuate balls $g \mathcal{G}_{<\gamma}$, $g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge \gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$ have non-empty intersection.

Such valued groups are in particular complete.

Definition

A valued group is said **spherically complete** if all decreasing families of valutive balls $g\mathcal{G}_{<\gamma}$, $g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge \gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$ have non-empty intersection.

Such valued groups are in particular complete.

Examples of spherically complete valued groups

- Valued groups (\mathcal{G}, v) with finite value set $v(\mathcal{G})$, e.g. torsion-free nilpotent groups with lower central, or derived valuation.
- Completions of free groups.
- Contractive hulls with Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff operations.
- The groups of positive infinite transseries / hyperseries.

Definition

A valued group is said **spherically complete** if all decreasing families of valutive balls $g\mathcal{G}_{<\gamma}$, $g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge \gamma \in v(\mathcal{G}^\neq)$ have non-empty intersection.

Such valued groups are in particular complete.

Examples of spherically complete valued groups

- Valued groups (\mathcal{G}, v) with finite value set $v(\mathcal{G})$, e.g. torsion-free nilpotent groups with lower central, or derived valuation.
- Completions of free groups.
- Contractive hulls with Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff operations.
- The groups of positive infinite transseries / hyperseries.

I **don't** know if a valued group can always be embedded into a spherically complete one.

Definition:

A valued group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ is **nearly Abelian** if $v([f, g]) < v(f), v(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}^\neq$.

Definition:

A valued group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ is **nearly Abelian** if $v([f, g]) < v(f), v(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}^\neq$.

Examples: free groups with the lower central valuation; groups of contracting derivations; the growth order group of *parabolic* germs definable in a polynomially bounded o-minimal expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, i.e. germs of the form $\text{id} + \delta$ where $\frac{\delta}{\text{id}} \rightarrow 0$.

Definition:

A valued group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ is **nearly Abelian** if $v([f, g]) < v(f), v(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}^\neq$.

Examples: free groups with the lower central valuation; groups of contracting derivations; the growth order group of *parabolic* germs definable in a polynomially bounded o-minimal expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, i.e. germs of the form $\text{id} + \delta$ where $\frac{\delta}{\text{id}} \rightarrow 0$.

Certain ordered valued groups can be decomposed into nearly Abelian parts.

Definition:

A valued group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ is **nearly Abelian** if $v([f, g]) < v(f), v(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}^\neq$.

Examples: free groups with the lower central valuation; groups of contracting derivations; the growth order group of *parabolic* germs definable in a polynomially bounded o-minimal expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, i.e. germs of the form $\text{id} + \delta$ where $\frac{\delta}{\text{id}} \rightarrow 0$.

Certain ordered valued groups can be decomposed into nearly Abelian parts.

The group $\mathcal{P} = \{x + \delta \in \mathbb{H} : \delta \prec x\}$ of parabolic hyperseries is an infinite semidirect product

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigtimes_{\alpha \in \mathbf{On}} \mathcal{P}_\alpha$$

whose terms \mathcal{P}_α are nearly Abelian.

Definition:

A valued group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot, 1, v)$ is **nearly Abelian** if $v([f, g]) < v(f), v(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}^\neq$.

Examples: free groups with the lower central valuation; groups of contracting derivations; the growth order group of *parabolic* germs definable in a polynomially bounded o-minimal expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, i.e. germs of the form $\text{id} + \delta$ where $\frac{\delta}{\text{id}} \rightarrow 0$.

Certain ordered valued groups can be decomposed into nearly Abelian parts.

The group $\mathcal{P} = \{x + \delta \in \mathbb{H} : \delta \prec x\}$ of parabolic hyperseries is an infinite semidirect product

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigtimes_{\alpha \in \mathbf{On}} \mathcal{P}_\alpha$$

whose terms \mathcal{P}_α are nearly Abelian.

In general, I expect most interesting spherically complete ordered valued groups can be obtained as direct limits of inverse limits of semidirect products of nearly Abelian ones.

Fix a ring A of characteristic 0, and a nearly Abelian A -valued A -group \mathcal{G} . Suppose that \mathcal{G} is A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \Rightarrow (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

Fix a ring A of characteristic 0, and a nearly Abelian A -valued A -group \mathcal{G} . Suppose that \mathcal{G} is A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \Rightarrow (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

A unary term in the (one-sorted) language of A -groups “is” an element of the free product of A -groups $\mathcal{G} *^A A$. We have a unique A -group homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{G} *^A A \longrightarrow A$ with $\alpha|_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$ and $\alpha|_A = \text{id}_A$. A term t is said *regular* if $\alpha(t) \neq 0$.

Fix a ring A of characteristic 0, and a nearly Abelian A -valued A -group \mathcal{G} . Suppose that \mathcal{G} is A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \Rightarrow (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

A unary term in the (one-sorted) language of A -groups “is” an element of the free product of A -groups $\mathcal{G} *^A A$. We have a unique A -group homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{G} *^A A \longrightarrow A$ with $\alpha \upharpoonright \mathcal{G} = 0$ and $\alpha \upharpoonright A = \text{id}_A$. A term t is said *regular* if $\alpha(t) \neq 0$.

Lemma: residue of a regular term

*For any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$ with $t(1) = 1$ and all $f \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\text{res}(t(f)) = \alpha(t) \text{res}(f)$.*

Fix a ring A of characteristic 0, and a nearly Abelian A -valued A -group \mathcal{G} . Suppose that \mathcal{G} is A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \Rightarrow (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

A unary term in the (one-sorted) language of A -groups “is” an element of the free product of A -groups $\mathcal{G} *^A A$. We have a unique A -group homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{G} *^A A \longrightarrow A$ with $\alpha \upharpoonright \mathcal{G} = 0$ and $\alpha \upharpoonright A = \text{id}_A$. A term t is said *regular* if $\alpha(t) \neq 0$.

Lemma: residue of a regular term

For any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$ with $t(1) = 1$ and all $f \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\text{res}(t(f)) = \alpha(t) \text{res}(f)$.

Proof for $A = \mathbb{Z}$. Write $t = g_1 y^{\alpha_1} \cdots g_n y^{\alpha_n}$, so $\alpha(t) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. Since $t(1) = 1$, we can write $t = t_1 \cdots t_n$ where $t_i := (g_1 \cdots g_i) y^{\alpha_i} (g_1 \cdots g_i)^{-1}$. Near Abelianness: $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{G}^\neq$, $v(f g f^{-1} g^{-1}) < v(g)$ so $\text{res}(f g f^{-1}) = \text{res}(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus for all $f \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\text{res}(t_i(f)) = \alpha_i \text{res}(f)$. Since $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \neq 0$, we have $\text{res}(t(f)) = \alpha(t) \text{res}(f)$. \square

Fix a ring A of characteristic 0, and a nearly Abelian A -valued A -group \mathcal{G} . Suppose that \mathcal{G} is A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \Rightarrow (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

A unary term in the (one-sorted) language of A -groups “is” an element of the free product of A -groups $\mathcal{G} *^A A$. We have a unique A -group homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{G} *^A A \longrightarrow A$ with $\alpha \upharpoonright \mathcal{G} = 0$ and $\alpha \upharpoonright A = \text{id}_A$. A term t is said *regular* if $\alpha(t) \neq 0$.

Lemma: residue of a regular term

For any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$ with $t(1) = 1$ and all $f \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\text{res}(t(f)) = \alpha(t) \text{res}(f)$.

Proof for $A = \mathbb{Z}$. Write $t = g_1 y^{\alpha_1} \cdots g_n y^{\alpha_n}$, so $\alpha(t) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. Since $t(1) = 1$, we can write $t = t_1 \cdots t_n$ where $t_i := (g_1 \cdots g_i) y^{\alpha_i} (g_1 \cdots g_i)^{-1}$. Near Abelianness: $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{G}^\neq$, $v(f g f^{-1} g^{-1}) < v(g)$ so $\text{res}(f g f^{-1}) = \text{res}(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus for all $f \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\text{res}(t_i(f)) = \alpha_i \text{res}(f)$. Since $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \neq 0$, we have $\text{res}(t(f)) = \alpha(t) \text{res}(f)$. \square

Consequence: we can solve $t(y) = 1$ for regular terms t via iterated approximations ($\eta \in \mathbf{On}$):

- $t_\eta(1) = 1$, then the only solution is $y = 1$.
- if $t_\eta(1) \neq 1$, then repeat by considering the term $t_{\eta+1} = t_\eta(f_\eta y)$ where $\alpha(t) \text{res}(f_\eta) + \text{res}(t(1)) = 0$ in $\mathcal{C}_{v(t_\eta(1))}$.
- at limit stages λ , take a “pseudo-limit” of $(f_0 f_1 \cdots f_\eta \cdots)_{\eta < \lambda}$.

$\chi(A) = 0$, \mathcal{G} : nearly Abelian A -valued A -group, \mathcal{G} A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \implies (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

$\chi(A) = 0$, \mathcal{G} : nearly Abelian A -valued A -group, \mathcal{G} A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \implies (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

Theorem: unicity of solutions

*For any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$, there is at most one $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t(g) = 1$.*

$\chi(A) = 0$, \mathcal{G} : nearly Abelian A -valued A -group, \mathcal{G} A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \implies (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

Theorem: unicity of solutions

*For any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$, there is at most one $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t(g) = 1$.*

Theorem: strict monotonicity

If \mathcal{G} is ordered c -valued and $A = \mathbb{Z}$, then for any regular unary term $t(y)$ over \mathcal{G} , the function $f \mapsto t(f) : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is strictly monotonous.

$\chi(A) = 0$, \mathcal{G} : nearly Abelian A -valued A -group, \mathcal{G} A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \implies (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

Theorem: unicity of solutions

*For any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$, there is at most one $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t(g) = 1$.*

Theorem: strict monotonicity

If \mathcal{G} is ordered c -valued and $A = \mathbb{Z}$, then for any regular unary term $t(y)$ over \mathcal{G} , the function $f \mapsto t(f) : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is strictly monotonous.

Theorem: existence of solutions

*Suppose that \mathcal{G} is spherically complete and each A -module \mathcal{C}_γ , $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G})$ is A -divisible. Then for any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$, there is a $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t(g) = 1$.*

$\chi(A) = 0$, \mathcal{G} : nearly Abelian A -valued A -group, \mathcal{G} A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \implies (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

Theorem: unicity of solutions

*For any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$, there is at most one $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t(g) = 1$.*

Theorem: strict monotonicity

If \mathcal{G} is ordered c -valued and $A = \mathbb{Z}$, then for any regular unary term $t(y)$ over \mathcal{G} , the function $f \mapsto t(f) : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is strictly monotonous.

Theorem: existence of solutions

*Suppose that \mathcal{G} is spherically complete and each A -module \mathcal{C}_γ , $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G})$ is A -divisible. Then for any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$, there is a $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t(g) = 1$.*

Corollary: extension of an old result of SMEL'KIN (1967)

*If \mathcal{H} is residually A -nilpotent A -torsion free, then any regular $t \in \mathcal{H} *^A A$ has a solution in the residually A -nilpotent A -torsion free completion $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ ($\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}$ if it is A -nilpotent A -torsion-free).*

$\chi(A) = 0$, \mathcal{G} : nearly Abelian A -valued A -group, \mathcal{G} A -torsion-free: $g^a = 1 \implies (a = 0 \text{ or } g = 1)$.

Theorem: unicity of solutions

*For any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$, there is at most one $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t(g) = 1$.*

Theorem: strict monotonicity

If \mathcal{G} is ordered c -valued and $A = \mathbb{Z}$, then for any regular unary term $t(y)$ over \mathcal{G} , the function $f \mapsto t(f) : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is strictly monotonous.

Theorem: existence of solutions

*Suppose that \mathcal{G} is spherically complete and each A -module \mathcal{C}_γ , $\gamma \in v(\mathcal{G})$ is A -divisible. Then for any regular $t \in \mathcal{G} *^A A$, there is a $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t(g) = 1$.*

Corollary: extension of an old result of SMEL'KIN (1967)

*If \mathcal{H} is residually A -nilpotent A -torsion free, then any regular $t \in \mathcal{H} *^A A$ has a solution in the residually A -nilpotent A -torsion free completion $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ ($\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}$ if it is A -nilpotent A -torsion-free).*

What's next?

Spherical completion, divisible extensions of residues, singular equations (commutators, conjugacy, ...), the case of non-nearly Abelian c -valued groups.

Thanks!



Let F be a field, $\chi(F) = 0$. Let G be an Abelian ordered group. We have a Hahn series field $F((G))$, with its valuation $v: F((G))^\times \longrightarrow G$. A linear map $\phi: F((G)) \longrightarrow F((G))$ is **contracting** if $v(\phi(s)) > v(s)$ for all $s \neq 0$.

Let F be a field, $\chi(F) = 0$. Let G be an Abelian ordered group. We have a Hahn series field $F((G))$, with its valuation $v: F((G))^\times \longrightarrow G$. A linear map $\phi: F((G)) \longrightarrow F((G))$ is **contracting** if $v(\phi(s)) > v(s)$ for all $s \neq 0$.

Let $\partial: F((G)) \longrightarrow F((G))$ be a *strongly linear* derivation with $\text{Ker}(\partial) = F$. Then $F((G))$ is a Lie algebra for $[\cdot, \cdot]: (f, g) \mapsto \partial(f)g - f\partial(g)$. Set

$$\mathbf{Cont}(\partial) := \{f \in F((G)) : f\partial \text{ is contracting}\}.$$

Let F be a field, $\chi(F) = 0$. Let G be an Abelian ordered group. We have a Hahn series field $F((G))$, with its valuation $v: F((G))^\times \rightarrow G$. A linear map $\phi: F((G)) \rightarrow F((G))$ is **contracting** if $v(\phi(s)) > v(s)$ for all $s \neq 0$.

Let $\partial: F((G)) \rightarrow F((G))$ be a *strongly linear* derivation with $\text{Ker}(\partial) = F$. Then $F((G))$ is a Lie algebra for $[\cdot, \cdot]: (f, g) \mapsto \partial(f)g - f\partial(g)$. Set

$$\mathbf{Cont}(\partial) := \{f \in F((G)) : f\partial \text{ is contracting}\}.$$

Theorem

$(\mathbf{Cont}(\partial), *, 0, v)$ is an F -valued, c -valued group for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product

$$f * g = f + g + \frac{1}{2} [f, g] + \frac{1}{12} ([f, [f, g]] - [g, [f, g]]) + \cdots$$

Let F be a field, $\chi(F) = 0$. Let G be an Abelian ordered group. We have a Hahn series field $F((G))$, with its valuation $v: F((G))^\times \rightarrow G$. A linear map $\phi: F((G)) \rightarrow F((G))$ is **contracting** if $v(\phi(s)) > v(s)$ for all $s \neq 0$.

Let $\partial: F((G)) \rightarrow F((G))$ be a *strongly linear* derivation with $\text{Ker}(\partial) = F$. Then $F((G))$ is a Lie algebra for $[\cdot, \cdot]: (f, g) \mapsto \partial(f)g - f\partial(g)$. Set

$$\mathbf{Cont}(\partial) := \{f \in F((G)) : f\partial \text{ is contracting}\}.$$

Theorem

$(\mathbf{Cont}(\partial), *, 0, v)$ is an F -valued, c -valued group for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product

$$f * g = f + g + \frac{1}{2} [f, g] + \frac{1}{12} ([f, [f, g]] - [g, [f, g]]) + \cdots$$

If F is an ordered field, then $F((G))$ is ordered.

Let F be a field, $\chi(F) = 0$. Let G be an Abelian ordered group. We have a Hahn series field $F((G))$, with its valuation $v: F((G))^\times \rightarrow G$. A linear map $\phi: F((G)) \rightarrow F((G))$ is **contracting** if $v(\phi(s)) > v(s)$ for all $s \neq 0$.

Let $\partial: F((G)) \rightarrow F((G))$ be a *strongly linear* derivation with $\text{Ker}(\partial) = F$. Then $F((G))$ is a Lie algebra for $[\cdot, \cdot]: (f, g) \mapsto \partial(f)g - f\partial(g)$. Set

$$\mathbf{Cont}(\partial) := \{f \in F((G)) : f\partial \text{ is contracting}\}.$$

Theorem

$(\mathbf{Cont}(\partial), *, 0, v)$ is an F -valued, c -valued group for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product

$$f * g = f + g + \frac{1}{2} [f, g] + \frac{1}{12} ([f, [f, g]] - [g, [f, g]]) + \cdots$$

If F is an ordered field, then $F((G))$ is ordered.

Proposition

$\mathbf{Cont}(\partial)$ is a growth order group with valuation $-v$ if and only if $(F((G)), v, \partial)$ is an H -field.